

COMMENT LETTER # IND23

Merideth, Ann

From: MICHAEL GRIFFITHS <mgriffiths7@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, June 22, 2012 9:21 AM
To: Merideth, Ann
Subject: My objection to the proposed Terraces project in Lafayette

Ann -
I have lived above Acalanes High School for 23 years and am writing to state my strong objection to the proposed Terraces project.

I am 100% in support of the petition below:
<http://www.ipetitions.com/petition/terraces-of-lafayette>

Please confirm receipt of my email and let me know if I need to do any more to have my position noted.

Warm regards,
Michael Griffiths

3220 Ronino Way
Lafayette, CA 94549
Tel: 925-946-0109

IND23-1

Home > Categories > Other > Terraces of Lafayette aka Christmas Tree Lot

Terraces of Lafayette aka Christmas Tree Lot

Sign Blog Signatures Email friends

Like < 3 Send

Twitter < 0

0

602

Goal: 1,000 signatures

The Petition

Carol Federighi Mayor
 City of Lafayette
 3675 Mt Diablo Boulevard, Suite 210
 Lafayette CA 94549

Dear
 Lafayette Mayor and City Council Members:

The residents of Lafayette have signed this petition, because of our concerns regarding the rezoning of the property known as the "Christmas Tree Lot". The City Council recommended on April 26, 2010, that the five parcels located along Deer Hill Road at Pleasant Hill Road (including the "Christmas Tree" lot across from Acalanes High School) be zoned low-density LR-5, which would permit one home per five acres, resulting in a maximum of four homes on the 22 acre parcel. Public support for this zoning was overwhelming. So many people showed up at the first hearing that the matter had to be continued for further comment at the second hearing. Three homeowners associations sent representatives in support of the low density zoning.

However, the City failed to finalize the low density residential zoning for a year and a half, thus permitting the owner to file an application for a massive apartment complex. This delay by the city may jeopardize the community's interests and must be corrected immediately. Further delay increases the potential that this property will be developed as high density, multifamily housing. The owner, whom inherited the property, and lives out of state, has filed an application to build 315 "moderate income" affordable housing apartments on the property; this proposal will fundamentally change the character of our community for the worse. Further, this development project will significantly impact traffic, threaten the safety of children crossing the street on their way to school, and decrease property values of hundreds of homes.

The community of Lafayette is angry that the City failed to finalize the low density zoning for this property prior to the owner filling an application. We want the North-east portion of Lafayette to maintain its semi-rural and single family residential character. This intersection is a crucial entrance to the city and our neighborhoods. We should not be forced to accept urbanization that will bring substantially increased traffic, and force expansion into broader roadways to accommodate all the cars.

We understand that the developer will contend that its proposal should be considered under the current zoning. We will deal with that contention in due course, but regardless the City needs to finalize the LR-5 rezoning as soon as possible, for the following reasons:

To finalize their earlier recommendation and commitment

To protect the character and views of this area

Sponsor

In April 2010, the City Council, after numerous well-attended hearings, agreed with the overwhelming input from the community that the parcel across the street from Acalanes was inappropriate for high density housing. It passed a resolution to zone the parcel LR-5 (low density residential development). Unfortunately, city staff never enacted the zoning change. In July 2011, 15 months after the City Council voted to rezone the parcel to low density, the landowner submitted an application to build a 315-unit apartment complex on the parcel. As of February 2012, the zoning change approved in 2010 has still not been enacted. The petition below does not seek to revisit the issue, which was thoroughly discussed by various stakeholders.

Because city staff has not enacted the change, residents are petitioning the City Council to direct staff to follow through on the zoning decision made almost two years ago.

There will be City Council meetings in the near future, your attendance and voice are very important!

This petition is only one step in fighting this project.

IND23-2

Spread the word

Help promote this petition with a widget on your site

Links

<http://www.facebook.com/pages/Stop-the-Terraces-of-Lafayette/198489963572243>

Sponsored links

To minimize the proposed traffic impacts of a high-density development

To bring the zoning in conformance with the City's Hillside and Ridgeline Ordinance

To bring the zoning into conformance with the General Plan, which calls for development consistent with the surrounding neighborhoods

To maintain the City's long standing policy of single family development north of the freeway

We look to the City Council members to represent the community, and to follow through with commitments they've made to our community.

PLEASE NOTE:
WHEN SIGNING THIS PETITION THE:

Show my name in the online signature list

MUST BE CHECKED FOR YOUR SIGNATURE TO COUNT

AFTER SIGNING THIS PETITION IPETITIONS WILL ASK YOU FOR A \$2 DONATION IN A POP UP SCREEN TO SUPPORT THEIR SITE. PLEASE DISREGARD/SAY NO AS THIS IS NOT A DONATION FOR STOP THE TERRACES OF LAFAYETTE.

The views expressed in this petition are solely those of the petition's sponsor and do not in any way reflect the views of iPetitions. iPetitions is solely a provider of technical services to the petition sponsor and cannot be held liable for any damages or injury or other harm arising from this petition. In the event no adequate sponsor is named, iPetitions will consider the individual account holder with which the petition was created as the lawful sponsor.

IND23-2
cont.

Sign petition

Fields marked with * are required

Name: *

Email: *

Comments:

Display options

Show my name in the online signature list

Keep me informed on this and similar petitions

Sign now

Home > Categories > Other > Terraces of Lafayette aka Christmas Tree Lot

Terraces of Lafayette aka Christmas Tree Lot

Sign Blog Signatures Email friends

Signatures

602 TOTAL

Sign Petition

Page: 1 , 2 , 3 , 4 , ... 13 > »

Now!

Sponsored links

1

Name: Karen Zemelman on Jan 12, 2012

Comments:

Flag

2



Name: Suzy Pak on Jan 13, 2012

Comments:

Flag

3

Name: Mark Zemelman on Jan 13, 2012

Comments:

Flag

4

Name: Sarah Schwartz on Jan 13, 2012

Comments:

Flag

5

Name: April Raffel on Jan 13, 2012

Comments: I moved to Lafayette because of the beautiful view and not appearing congested. The corner of Pleasant Hill and Deer Hill are already connected during peak hours. The City even has their police constantly giving tickets left and right due to high speed and other traffic violations. You have the information in your data base. Why would you even consider adding more connection to an extremely busy intersection when you already can see how many violations currently occur at this area. This is not my recommendation to pursue any further development for safety of its current residents, as well as the environmental aesthetics of Lafayette.

Flag

6

Name: Sharon Doi on Jan 13, 2012

Comments:

Flag

7

Name: Michael Swan on Jan 13, 2012

Comments: A 315-unit apartment complex across the street from Acalanes High School makes absolutely no sense.

Flag

8

Name: Jean Follmer on Jan 13, 2012

Comments:

Flag

9

IND23-2
cont.

Name: Heidi Hug on Jan 13, 2012
Comments:
Flag

10
Name: Richard Kline on Jan 13, 2012
Comments:
Flag

11
Name: Ari Lauer on Jan 13, 2012
Comments:
Flag

12
Name: Julie Donlon on Jan 13, 2012
Comments:
Flag

13
Name: Steve Dietsch on Jan 13, 2012
Comments:
Flag

14
Name: John S. Lomond on Jan 13, 2012
Comments: As a Lafayette resident who commutes daily down Pleasant Hill Road and is always stuck in terrible and frustrating morning traffic, I can't imagine the negative impact this project would have on our community. It already can take 20 minutes to travel from Green Valley Drive to 24 during rush hour, a distance of under 1 mile. In addition we moved to Green Valley Drive because of the beautiful rural surroundings, and the wonderful and treasured vistas of Deer Hill Road would be destroyed forever by 300+ apartment units. I strongly oppose the planned development project. Please protect our community from further unneeded congestion and over-development.
Flag

15
Name: Michael Walker on Jan 13, 2012
Comments: This proposed project is a disappointment. It creates high density residential in a critical and sensitive location. It will change the character of the eastern gateway of our city and clog traffic. It will just be more ugly urban sprawl. Build this kind of density closer to downtown, not in the rural outlands.
Flag

16
Name: Pete Catalanello on Jan 13, 2012
Comments: No, no, no. Do not allow some out of state developer to fundamentally change our community in the name of greed. This is not good for our kids, community or schools. Do your job, protect our community. We do not need the gateway of Lafayette to include 315 apartments. I
Flag

17
Name: Vali Frank on Jan 13, 2012
Comments:
Flag

18
Name: Amy DeLong-Martin on Jan 14, 2012
Comments:
Flag

19
Name: Stacy Schirmer on Jan 14, 2012
Comments:
Flag

20
Name: Amy O'Connor on Jan 14, 2012
Comments:
Flag

IND23-2
cont.

21
Name: Ben O'Connor on Jan 14, 2012
Comments:
Flag

22
Name: Stacey Huie on Jan 14, 2012
Comments:
Flag

23
Name: Tifanie Mikalis on Jan 14, 2012
Comments:
Flag

24
Name: *Anonymous* on Jan 14, 2012
Comments:
Flag

25
Name: Kerry Bolen on Jan 14, 2012
Comments:
Flag

26
Name: Kristin Allio on Jan 14, 2012
Comments:
Flag

27
Name: Dorothy Murphey on Jan 14, 2012
Comments:
Flag

28
Name: Melissa Lee on Jan 14, 2012
Comments:
Flag

29
Name: *Anonymous* on Jan 14, 2012
Comments:
Flag

30
Name: Scott Loughran-Smith on Jan 14, 2012
Comments: The absurdity of The Terraces of Lafayette speaks for itself. A less desirable out cropping of structures could not be placed in a more frightful location, riddled with negative impact for all. Shame on all of our City "leaders" that failed to act in a timely manner. We insist that this time around, you do your jobs properly. Hear our voices and represent this community effectively and without compromise.
Flag

31
Name: Lori Loughran-Smith on Jan 14, 2012
Comments:
Flag

32
Name: John Hrebec on Jan 14, 2012
Comments:
Flag

33
Name: Donna Hrebec on Jan 14, 2012
Comments:
Flag

34

IND23-2
cont.

Name: Christy Wilson on Jan 14, 2012
Comments: high density results in:increased traffic congestion, safety issues for high school students, remote from BART, not consistent with surrounding neighborhood
Flag

35
Name: Rosalina Acuff on Jan 15, 2012
Comments:
Flag

36
Name: Keith Wilson on Jan 15, 2012
Comments: This is a terrible idea... please do not blight pleasant hill road with a big residential development. What makes this town so nice is the fact that there is an abundance of open space, building a complex here would ruin that.
Flag

37
Name: *Anonymous* on Jan 15, 2012
Comments:
Flag

38
Name: Elliot Hudson on Jan 15, 2012
Comments: There have been few, if any, items in Lafayette planning history with more unanimous community backing. The City has already failed once, inexcusably, to give sufficient priority to those views. It must not fail again.
Flag

39
Name: Katie Sowarby on Jan 15, 2012
Comments:
Flag

40
Name: Scott Sowarby on Jan 15, 2012
Comments:
Flag

41
Name: Michael Bishopp on Jan 15, 2012
Comments:
Flag

42
Name: *Anonymous* on Jan 15, 2012
Comments:
Flag

43
Name: Mark Schwartz on Jan 16, 2012
Comments:
Flag

44
Name: Lynda Rotundo on Jan 16, 2012
Comments:
Flag

45
Name: Renee Lyall on Jan 16, 2012
Comments:
Flag

46
Name: Laura Whitmore on Jan 16, 2012
Comments:
Flag

47

IND23-2
cont.

Name: Kathy Welch on Jan 16, 2012

Comments: Traffic at that intersection at rush hours, particularly in the mornings, is already very congested and takes 4 cycles to get through the light. I feel it should have already been addressed. Any increase in traffic would GREATLY impact an existing traffic problem.

Flag

48

Name: Beth Brown on Jan 16, 2012

Comments:

Flag

49

Name: Carolyn Maples on Jan 16, 2012

Comments:

Flag

50

Name: Patricia Napper on Jan 16, 2012

Comments:

Flag

Page: 1 , 2 , 3 , 4 , ... 13 › »

[iPetitions](#) is owned and operated by Angle Three Associates, LLC - All material © Copyright Angle Three Associates, LLC, 1998-2012 - [Terms of Use](#)

IND23-2
cont.

Home > Categories > Other > Terraces of Lafayette aka Christmas Tree Lot

Terraces of Lafayette aka Christmas Tree Lot

Sign Blog Signatures Email friends

Signatures

602 TOTAL

Sign Petition

Page: « (1 , 2 , 3 , 4 , 5 , ... 13) »

Now!

Sponsored links

51
Name: Holly Nolan on Jan 16, 2012
Comments: We drive our daughters to Stanley Middle School from Reliez Valley Road. My husband drives to BART and we use the downtown Lafayette businesses. As it is, the intersection of Pleasant Hill and Deer Hill Roads is often so congested as to make it difficult for us to get to these places within a reasonable amount of time. If this project goes forward as proposed, I am convinced we will be effectively cut off from the town of which we have been residents for 19 years.
 Flag

52
Name: Maureen Bagley on Jan 16, 2012
Comments:
 Flag

53
Name: Tim Welch on Jan 16, 2012
Comments: Terrible, terrible idea!!
 Flag

54
Name: C. Mccarty on Jan 16, 2012
Comments:
 Flag

55
Name: KIM STEWART on Jan 16, 2012
Comments: I am in agreement with the proposal to rezone the property to LR5. This location can not support a high density development. The high school and residential development north of Hwy 24 currently impacts Pleasant Hill Road we do not need high density housing on this lot.
 Flag

56
Name: Gabrielle Ohleyer on Jan 16, 2012
Comments:
 Flag

57
Name: Kristina K Buck on Jan 16, 2012
Comments: This area should me maintained as low density/rural property in keeping with the housing in the immediate area. High density housing would not only significantly reduce the value of our homes but cause significant traffic & safety issue for our families and school children. DO NOT approve high density housing in this area.
 Flag

58
Name: Melissa Johnston on Jan 16, 2012
Comments:
 Flag

59
Name: Anonymous on Jan 16, 2012

IND23-2
cont.

Comments: I am opposed to any apartment complex being built on the aforementioned lot.
Flag

60

Name: Tony on Jan 16, 2012

Comments:

Flag

61

Name: Jennifer Spahr on Jan 16, 2012

Comments:

Flag

62

Name: Pauline Proffett on Jan 16, 2012

Comments:

Flag

63

Name: Mark Ohleyer on Jan 16, 2012

Comments:

Flag

64

Name: Ninette Biltner on Jan 16, 2012

Comments:

Flag

65

Name: Heidi Plumb on Jan 16, 2012

Comments:

Flag

66

Name: Bill Plumb on Jan 16, 2012

Comments:

Flag

67

Name: Chuck Johnston on Jan 16, 2012

Comments:

Flag

68

Name: Robert Kostecki on Jan 16, 2012

Comments: ditto

Flag

69

Name: Holly Sonne on Jan 16, 2012

Comments:

Flag

70

Name: Gabriela Ramsey on Jan 16, 2012

Comments: I support to try to maintain Lafayette's semi-rural and single family residential character.

Flag

71

Name: Caren Kline on Jan 16, 2012

Comments:

Flag

72

Name: Sheryl Anderson And Gilbert Woltjer on Jan 16, 2012

Comments:

Flag

73

IND23-2
cont.

Name: Brad Pepper on Jan 16, 2012
Comments: Terrible Idea for our city. Please don't litter our beautiful hills with apartments
Flag

74
Name: Ronela Huskins on Jan 16, 2012
Comments:
Flag

75
Name: Francine Donner on Jan 16, 2012
Comments:
Flag

76
Name: Jill Adri on Jan 16; 2012
Comments:
Flag

77
Name: Kevin Croak on Jan 16, 2012
Comments:
Flag

78
Name: Michelle Foster, Kevin Foster on Jan 16, 2012
Comments: We are vehemently opposed to this project! We live off of Pleasant Hill Rd and deal with terrible traffic already. We do not want or need this 315 unit apartment complex in this place!
Flag

79
Name: Shawn Kinnear on Jan 16, 2012
Comments: I am against the housing project proposed for Lafayette near Acalanes high school. This is a location that is heavily congested in the morning and evenings and adding this size of a housing unit would make the traffic ridiculous and the kids who are walking in the mornings to school in the area would be put at further risk.
Flag

80
Name: *Anonymous* on Jan 16, 2012
Comments: I have lived in this neighborhood for 20 years and I feel it is not right to build a huge apartment complex in our neighborhood. I agree with the statements made in this petition.
Flag

81
Name: *Anonymous* on Jan 16, 2012
Comments:
Flag

82
Name: Farrel Vance on Jan 16, 2012
Comments:
Flag

83
Name: Lori Smith on Jan 16, 2012
Comments:
Flag

84
Name: Robin Bittner on Jan 16, 2012
Comments:
Flag

85
Name: Jamie Wilson on Jan 16, 2012
Comments: I am strongly opposed to this development. Please take the time to understand the needs of and impact to the community before continuing further.
Flag

IND23-2
cont.

86
Name: Susan Candell on Jan 16, 2012
Comments:
Flag

87
Name: John Merrlon on Jan 16, 2012
Comments: Don't build apartments in Lafayette!
Flag

88
Name: Graham Westphal on Jan 16, 2012
Comments: I live at 3310 Springhill rd, we drive through the deer hill/pleasant hill intersection many times a day, it is currently a nightmare of traffic and kids walking to school. Allowing this development is negligent on the part of the city. Please don't allow the permits to be issued for this massive traffic nightmare!
Flag

89
Name: Kerry Shaw on Jan 16, 2012
Comments:
Flag

90
Name: Kim Greer on Jan 16, 2012
Comments: Redevelopment is important to the vitality of Laf. But this project has too many negative impacts not only on traffic but the high school across the street.
Flag

91
Name: Kevin Montoya on Jan 16, 2012
Comments:
Flag

92
Name: *Anonymous* on Jan 16, 2012
Comments: I agree with the many residents of Lafayette that the proposal does not benefit the overall look and feel of the city of Lafayette
Flag

93
Name: *Anonymous* on Jan 16, 2012
Comments:
Flag

94
Name: Anders Thorson on Jan 16, 2012
Comments: This project will change the character of Lafayette in a negative way and add to the "highway" feel of Pleasant Hill Road.
Flag

95
Name: *Anonymous* on Jan 16, 2012
Comments: This is a travesty Way too much congestion for the high school to handle Stop the project!!
Flag

96
Name: Laurie Wondolowski on Jan 16, 2012
Comments:
Flag

97
Name: Maarit Baker on Jan 16, 2012
Comments:
Flag

98
Name: Darren Baker on Jan 16, 2012
Comments:

IND23-2
cont.

Flag

99

Name: Madeline Fleischmann on Jan 16, 2012

Comments:

Flag

100

Name: Michelle Chan on Jan 16, 2012

Comments: Think about the traffic problem this will create at an already very congested areal

Flag

IND23-2
cont.

Page: « < 1 , 2 , 3 , 4 , 5 , ... 13 > »

Petitions is owned and operated by Angle Three Associates, LLC - All material © Copyright Angle Three Associates, LLC, 1998-2012 - [Terms of Use](#)

Home > Categories > Other > Terraces of Lafayette aka Christmas Tree Lot

Terraces of Lafayette aka Christmas Tree Lot

[Sign](#) [Blog](#) [Signatures](#) [Email friends](#)

Signatures

602 TOTAL

[Sign Petition](#)

Page: « ‹ 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, ... 13 › »

[Now!](#)

[Sponsored links](#)

101

Name: Kristen Altbaum on Jan 16, 2012

Comments: This gateway to highway 24 is already too congested with traffic. My son is on the Stanley bus for 45 minutes each way currently.

[Flag](#)

102

Name: William Chan on Jan 16, 2012

Comments:

[Flag](#)

103

Name: Robert Buckley on Jan 16, 2012

Comments:

[Flag](#)

104

Name: Brian Candell on Jan 16, 2012

Comments:

[Flag](#)

105

Name: Lynn Davilla Shields on Jan 16, 2012

Comments:

[Flag](#)

106

Name: Michael Scott Frazer on Jan 16, 2012

Comments: Please correct this problem as it will overwhelm our area with traffic not to mention the eyesore of the hills turning into buildings.

[Flag](#)

107

Name: Maureen Wickline on Jan 16, 2012

Comments: We urge you to listen to the citizens of Lafayette.

[Flag](#)

108

Name: Genevieve Topping on Jan 16, 2012

Comments:

[Flag](#)

109

Name: Anne Daniels on Jan 16, 2012

Comments:

[Flag](#)

110

Name: Staci Johnston on Jan 17, 2012

Comments:

[Flag](#)

IND23-2
cont.

111
Name: Staci Johnston on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
Flag

112
Name: Sonia Chan on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
Flag

113
Name: Lisa Dirito on Jan 17, 2012
Comments: way too much traffic as it is!
Flag

114
Name: Anonymous on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
Flag

115
Name: Kathie Woodman on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
Flag

116
Name: Marc Woodman on Jan 17, 2012
Comments: I agree with this petition and agree with the low density zoning approach. I strongly oppose the high density apartment complex in our community and will take all steps necessary to prevent this from happening.
Flag

117
Name: Mike Novak on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
Flag

118
Name: Julie Novak on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
Flag

119
Name: Elizabeth Hutson on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
Flag

120
Name: Thomas Burcham on Jan 17, 2012
Comments: The proposed project is absurd.
Flag

121
Name: Melissa Horn on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
Flag

122
Name: Lisa Curtis-Walker on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
Flag

123
Name: Carrie Barlow on Jan 17, 2012
Comments: It will be a huge eyesore on that hill coming into Lafayette. The High School will be impacted immensely and it is already overcrowded and undersupported financially.
Flag

IND23-2
cont.

124
Name: Jalme Roder on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
Flag

125
Name: Emma Bishopp on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
Flag

126
Name: Kari Dahlen on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
Flag

127
Name: Kim Bettencourt on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
Flag

128
Name: Michael Lederman on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
Flag

129
Name: Michelle Regan on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
Flag

130
Name: Joellen Gill Davis on Jan 17, 2012
Comments: Please honor your commitment to protect our neighborhood plus the safety of our high school students. There are lots of other locations that are better suited for apartment complexes. Thanks for remaining trustworthy and responsible.
Flag

131
Name: Corrine Christensen on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
Flag

132
Name: William B Workman, MD on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
Flag

133
Name: Michael B. Marlon on Jan 17, 2012
Comments: Please stop this over the top development project.
Flag

134
Name: Ruth Donner on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
Flag

135
Name: Steve Bolen on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
Flag

136
Name: Catherine Sturman on Jan 17, 2012
Comments: What a nightmare this project would be with traffic and all.
Flag

137
Name: Rhodora Del Rosario on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:

IND23-2
cont.

Flag

138

Name: Sharyn Harrington on Jan 17, 2012

Comments:

Flag

139

Name: Sheila Alfaro on Jan 17, 2012

Comments:

Flag

140

Name: Ed Sussenguth on Jan 17, 2012

Comments: Please finalize the LR-5 rezoning. No other options are reasonable.

Flag

141

Name: C Rotundo on Jan 17, 2012

Comments:

Flag

142

Name: Erin Benisek on Jan 17, 2012

Comments:

Flag

143

Name: Anonymous on Jan 17, 2012

Comments: Traffic is already a nightmare at this intersection

Flag

144

Name: Anonymous on Jan 17, 2012

Comments:

Flag

145

Name: Chandra Montgomery on Jan 17, 2012

Comments:

Flag

146

Name: Anne Bone on Jan 17, 2012

Comments: Just say No!!!

Flag

147

Name: Jon Bone on Jan 17, 2012

Comments:

Flag

148

Name: Allison Thomason on Jan 17, 2012

Comments:

Flag

149

Name: Jackie Zirkel on Jan 17, 2012

Comments:

Flag

150

Name: Todd Pearson on Jan 17, 2012

Comments:

Flag

IND23-2
cont.

IPetitions is owned and operated by Angle Three Associates, LLC - All material © Copyright Angle Three Associates, LLC, 1998-2012 - Terms of Use



**IND23-2
cont.**

Home > Categories > Other > Terraces of Lafayette aka Christmas Tree Lot

Terraces of Lafayette aka Christmas Tree Lot

Sign Blog Signatures Email friends

Signatures

602 TOTAL

Sign Petition

Page: « < 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, ... 13 > »

Now!

Sponsored links

151



Name: Tamra Moon-Johnson on Jan 17, 2012
Comments: Must rezone for single family, apartments in that location are unacceptable
Flag

152

Name: John Topping on Jan 17, 2012
Comments: A project like this moves Lafayette in the wrong direction- and is counter to why many of us chose Lafayette as a place to live
Flag

153

Name: Jeanne Hughes on Jan 17, 2012
Comments: Living just across the street from this parcel, I am strongly in favor of the low density zoning!
Flag

154

Name: Ron Altbaum on Jan 17, 2012
Comments: These are crowded areas and we dont want to add to it.
Flag

155

Name: Kristin Willis on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
Flag

156

Name: Lisa Anderson on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
Flag

157

Name: Darcy Talbot on Jan 17, 2012
Comments: Already too much traffic. It would be unbearable if we add to the congestion there.
Flag

158

Name: Katherine Lefanowicz on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
Flag

159

Name: Cliff Wagener on Jan 17, 2012
Comments: This is already the most problematic intersection in Lafayette. If one spent time near the intersection at rush hour or before or after school, there is simple no way a housing project of this size would be approved.
Flag

IND23-2
cont.

160

Name: Charles Regan on Jan 17, 2012

Comments: Please do not allow a massive apartment complex to be built on the 22 acre parcel located at the corner of Deer Hill Road and Pleasant Hill Road for the reasons that have been previously communicated.

Flag

161

Name: Mark Gundacker on Jan 17, 2012

Comments:

Flag

162

Name: John Hughes on Jan 17, 2012

Comments:

Flag

163

Name: Jennifer Nelson on Jan 17, 2012

Comments: I'm particularly concerned about the traffic impacts of a high-density development at that location. Traffic on Pleasant Hill in the morning and evening is already difficult for area residents.

Flag

164

Name: Brian And Cristen Godfrey on Jan 17, 2012

Comments:

Flag

165

Name: Samara Bishop on Jan 17, 2012

Comments:

Flag

166

Name: Joan Toney on Jan 17, 2012

Comments:

Flag

167

Name: Susan Khan on Jan 17, 2012

Comments:

Flag

168

Name: Robert Raffel on Jan 17, 2012

Comments: I am very concerned that that the five parcels located along Deer Hill Road at Pleasant Hill Road were not re-zoned as low-density LR-5. I consider it to be very important that this portion of Lafayette maintain its semi-rural and single family residential character. Robert Raffel

Flag

169

Name: Leslie Lomond on Jan 17, 2012

Comments: Let's keep Lafayette lovely. We value our semi-rural life, quality of fine schools and value of our real estate. Please do not build these apartments. No more low density housing.

Flag

170

Name: Rehan Khan on Jan 17, 2012

Comments: 1195 Pleasant Hill Circle As someone who resides very close to the Christmas Tree lot, I both understand how horrible the traffic would become if high density housing were installed here and would be very directly, and adversely affected by it. As it is, the traffic on Pleasant Hill Rd at this intersection during rush hour is terrible. Adding 315 more units on this corner would make it completely impossible. I strongly support zoning this for 5 houses, and strongly oppose zoning it for apartments or condos. Thank you.

Flag

171

Name: Marie Partl on Jan 17, 2012

IND23-2
cont.

Comments: The City was remiss in not following through with the Council's decision to rezone the above property. I have heard many comments that this lack of action was deliberate by members of the city staff. Please follow through with the Council's action.
Flag

172

Name: Laura White on Jan 17, 2012

Comments:

Flag

173

Name: Karen Herrerias on Jan 17, 2012

Comments:

Flag

174

Name: Kenneth H. Cusick on Jan 17, 2012

Comments: In addition to the above comments, I want you to consider how the school age children will be able to get to Springhill School. There is no walkway on that side of Pleasant Hill Rd. and the intersection of Pleasant Hill Rd. and Springhill Rd. is a "zoo" during the beginnings and ends of the school days.

Flag

175

Name: Julie Hansen on Jan 17, 2012

Comments:

Flag

176

Name: Steve Herrerias on Jan 17, 2012

Comments: I STRONGLY support this petition and urge the City to deliver on its original promise of low-density housing for this area.

Flag

177

Name: Anonymous on Jan 17, 2012

Comments:

Flag

178

Name: Julie Tobias-pancoast on Jan 17, 2012

Comments: No Way! Congestion, terrible location

Flag

179

Name: Robert Pancoast on Jan 17, 2012

Comments: no

Flag

180

Name: Beth Goldberg on Jan 17, 2012

Comments:

Flag

181

Name: Sarah Mould on Jan 17, 2012

Comments:

Flag

182

Name: Julie D'Amante on Jan 17, 2012

Comments:

Flag

183

Name: Tina Ward on Jan 17, 2012

Comments:

Flag

184

IND23-2
cont.

Name: Lori A. Cataldo on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
Flag

185
Name: Tina Tankka on Jan 17, 2012
Comments: This is a highly congested area before and after school for drop-off and pick-up, and also during rush hour. I don't see how that one road will be able to accommodate more traffic. If more housing is the issue, there is the west end of Lafayette that is totally open and unhindered with traffic.
Flag

186
Name: Julie Lynn Fox on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
Flag

187
Name: Wendi And Jim Giordano on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
Flag

188
Name: Amy Norstad on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
Flag

189
Name: Amy Norstad on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
Flag

190
Name: Stacey Huie on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
Flag

191
Name: Jennifer Starks on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
Flag

192
Name: Lauren Webster on Jan 17, 2012
Comments: Please preserve this open space and the rural ambiance that makes our community a highly desirable place to live. No need to over develop!
Flag

193
Name: Melissa K Owens on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
Flag

194
Name: Susan Z. Layng on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
Flag

195
Name: Catherine McCarthy on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
Flag

196
Name: BONNIE MIN on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
Flag

197
Name: Maria Pratt on Jan 17, 2012
Comments: This is simply the wrong location for a project of this nature.

IND23-2
cont.

Flag

198

Name: Frandle Low on Jan 17, 2012

Comments: please keep Lafayette's character. NO Apartments!

Flag

199

Name: Elizabeth G. Dietsch on Jan 17, 2012

Comments:

Flag

200

Name: Ilene Leibowitz on Jan 17, 2012

Comments: Totally against the high density project and problems it will certainly create.

Flag

Page: « < 1 , 2 , 3 , 4 , 5 , 6 , 7 , ... 13 > »

IPetitions is owned and operated by Angle Three Associates, LLC - All material © Copyright Angle Three Associates, LLC, 1998-2012 - Terms of Use

IND23-2
cont.

Home > Categories > Other > Terraces of Lafayette aka Christmas Tree Lot

Terraces of Lafayette aka Christmas Tree Lot

Sign Blog Signatures Email friends

Signatures

602 TOTAL

[Sign Petition](#)

Page: « (1 , 2 , 3 , 4 , 5 , 6 , 7 , 8 , ... 13) »

[Now!](#)

[Sponsored links](#)

201

Name: Wendy Finegold on Jan 17, 2012
Comments: This can not happen! We can not have the traffic!!
[Flag](#)

202

Name: Susan Keeley on Jan 17, 2012
Comments:
[Flag](#)

203

Name: David Roth on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
[Flag](#)

204

Name: *Anonymous* on Jan 18, 2012
Comments: We have already moved once in Lafayette due to 2nd story addition to a home on a flag lot behind our Springhill house. Please keep Lafayette rural and safe.
[Flag](#)

205

Name: *Anonymous* on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
[Flag](#)

206

Name: Scharline Howell on Jan 18, 2012
Comments: The intersection at Pleasant Hill Rd. and Deer Valley would become a gridlock. I am vehemently against this development.
[Flag](#)

207

Name: Julia Myall on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
[Flag](#)

208

Name: Jenifer Paul on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
[Flag](#)

209

Name: Bridget Wellerstein on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
[Flag](#)

210

Name: Ludwig And Mary Mlynek on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
[Flag](#)

IND23-2
cont.

211

Name: Linda Momsen on Jan 18, 2012

Comments:

Flag

212

Name: Lisa Evaristo on Jan 18, 2012

Comments:

Flag

213

Name: Maia Siu on Jan 18, 2012

Comments:

Flag

214

Name: Tim O'Neill on Jan 18, 2012

Comments: Elected officials not getting work done.....shocker!

Flag

215

Name: Jeff Momsen on Jan 18, 2012

Comments:

Flag

216

Name: Julie Barlier on Jan 18, 2012

Comments:

Flag

217

Name: Benjamin Paul, MD, PhD on Jan 18, 2012

Comments: The greed of this landowner and the ineptitude of our mayor and the city council is infuriating.

Flag

218

Name: David Hamish on Jan 18, 2012

Comments: Zoning delays by City Planning Department have led us to the point where the parcels are now subject to the proposed Terraces project. It is truly a fiasco of ineffective government that the project ever got this far. Please stop this madness and proceed with the re-zoning that has been in the works for years.

Flag

219

Name: Julie Hammerman on Jan 18, 2012

Comments:

Flag

220

Name: Paul & Katherine Welcomer on Jan 18, 2012

Comments: We live very close to the proposed site of these apartments, and drive by literally several times a day. I would hate to see the traffic impact this would have on an already extremely congested intersection. This is NOT the place for high density housing. Downtown Lafayette is the place for that. Please respect the neighborhood's wishes on this and make this parcel low density like the rest of the area. Thank you.

Flag

221

Name: Heather Grisales on Jan 18, 2012

Comments:

Flag

222

Name: Jenny And Jim Shepherd on Jan 18, 2012

Comments:

Flag

223

IND23-2
cont.

Name: Bryan Hill on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

224
Name: Lori Green on Jan 18, 2012
Comments: Terrible idea.
Flag

225
Name: Anne Marie Hill on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

226
Name: Karen Heyman on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

227
Name: Mike Tucci on Jan 18, 2012
Comments: f this
Flag

228
Name: Brian R Green on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

229
Name: Alicia Griffith on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

230
Name: Mary Martha Hudson on Jan 18, 2012
Comments: Please do the right thing. We love our small town and schools.
Flag

231
Name: W. Gillett Johnson on Jan 18, 2012
Comments: We will do everything possible to prevent this from happening, including starting a petition to recall the entire city council.
Flag

232
Name: Wendy Gilberd on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

233
Name: Carolyn Wolf on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

234
Name: Edwin Wolf on Jan 18, 2012
Comments: Recall the council
Flag

235
Name: Anonymous on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

236
Name: Janis Workman on Jan 18, 2012
Comments: This measure cannot pass. You jeopardize the well being of our school children across the street at Acalanes and down the block at Springhill with this ill conceived proposal. We will not back down from our vehement opposition!!!!

IND23-2
cont.

Flag

237
Name: Hillary Combs on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

238
Name: Jake Altgelt on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

239
Name: Moona Nandi on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

240
Name: *Anonymous* on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

241
Name: Leeaht Segev on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

242
Name: Jimmy Hughes on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

243
Name: *Anonymous* on Jan 18, 2012
Comments: The proposed apartments will have a significantly negative impact on our area in so many ways. Please uphold your initial recommendation.
Flag

244
Name: Taber Reiner on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

245
Name: Susan Jacuzzi Cochrane on Jan 18, 2012
Comments: Keep Lafayette special. No massive projects.
Flag

246
Name: *Anonymous* on Jan 18, 2012
Comments: .
Flag

247
Name: Brenda Twehues on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

248
Name: Christina Tepfhy on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

249
Name: Debbie Fugate on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

250

IND23-2
cont.

Name: Kitty Woodworth on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

Page: « (1 , 2 , 3 , 4 , 5 , 6 , 7 , 8 , ... 13) »

ipetitions is owned and operated by Angle Three Associates, LLC - All material © Copyright Angle Three Associates, LLC, 1998-2012 - [Terms of Use](#)

IND23-2
cont.

Home > Categories > Other > Terraces of Lafayette aka Christmas Tree Lot

Terraces of Lafayette aka Christmas Tree Lot

[Sign](#) [Blog](#) [Signatures](#) [Email friends](#)

Signatures

602 TOTAL

[Sign Petition](#)

Page: « (1 , ... 3 , 4 , 5 , 6 , 7 , 8 , 9 , ... 13) »

[Now!](#)

[Sponsored links](#)

251
Name: Sean Carlin on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

252
Name: Amy Peterson on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

253
Name: Jeffrey K. Acuff on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

254
Name: Gertruda Luermann on Jan 18, 2012
Comments: I fully agree with the fact that a 315 unit housing complex would negatively impact the community of Lafayette and its vested citizens.
Flag

255
Name: Susan Caplan on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

256
Name: James DeMent on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

257
Name: Kelly Boren on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

258
Name: Kevin Rehn on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

259
Name: Matt Boudreau on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

260
Name: Veronica Siewert on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

IND23-2
cont.

261
Name: Mike Slewert on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

262
Name: Jack Howell on Jan 18, 2012
Comments: Don't do it!
Flag

263
Name: *Anonymous* on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

264
Name: Maya Kobashigawa on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

265
Name: Penne Tomajan on Jan 18, 2012
Comments: Too much traffic now, we do NOT need more.
Flag

266
Name: Karen Tomajan on Jan 18, 2012
Comments: Terrible Idea
Flag

267
Name: Kelly Lucini on Jan 18, 2012
Comments: Stop this from happening
Flag

268
Name: Teresa Smith on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

269
Name: Heidi G Oriol on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

270
Name: Kathy Hemmenway on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

271
Name: Stacey Larsen on Jan 18, 2012
Comments: Stop the madness on P.Hill Road and the concern for the children that attend Acalanes and Springhill Schools.
Flag

272
Name: David Larsen on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

273
Name: Suzanne on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

274
Name: Julie Peterrson on Jan 18, 2012

IND23-2
cont.

Comments: I travel Pleasant Hill Rd in the mornings between 8-9. I can not even imagine what the traffic from this proposed project would add to the already crowded streets. It can take me 15 minutes to get from Reliez Valley Rd to the freeway on a busy day. I am AGAINST the development of this property. Julie Peterson
Flag

275

Name: Mary Andrews on Jan 18, 2012

Comments:

Flag

276

Name: *Anonymous* on Jan 18, 2012

Comments:

Flag

277

Name: Malamatenia Mavromatis on Jan 18, 2012

Comments: Traffic is terrible on PHR in the morning. This proposed development will make it worse. Please don't do it.

Flag

278

Name: Heidi Wenokur on Jan 18, 2012

Comments:

Flag

279

Name: Babak Broumand on Jan 18, 2012

Comments:

Flag

280

Name: Phil Gaffney on Jan 18, 2012

Comments:

Flag

281

Name: *Anonymous* on Jan 18, 2012

Comments:

Flag

282

Name: Colin McKenna on Jan 18, 2012

Comments: The traffic to that intersection is already outrageous in the mornings.

Flag

283

Name: Greg Davis on Jan 18, 2012

Comments:

Flag

284

Name: Danielle Lederman on Jan 18, 2012

Comments:

Flag

285

Name: Jean Murray on Jan 18, 2012

Comments:

Flag

286

Name: Barbara Harris on Jan 18, 2012

Comments:

Flag

287

Name: Eleanor Whitehead on Jan 18, 2012

Comments:

IND23-2
cont.

Flag

288
Name: Kathleen Biro on Jan 18, 2012
Comments: I have grown up and lived in Lafayette for the last 48 years and am extremely concerned with the size of this project especially since it is located in a very busy and dangerous innersection.
Flag

289
Name: Kathryn Price on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

290
Name: Kevin Smith on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

291
Name: Heather Kennedy on Jan 18, 2012
Comments: Traffic has been enough of a problem in that area. Please reconsider this short sighted plan so that we we can work on long term city planning that doesn't add to the gridlock.
Flag

292
Name: Anonymous on Jan 18, 2012
Comments: We can find a better use for that property. The congestion at that intersection is already a nightmare.
Flag

293
Name: Mitra Abenari on Jan 18, 2012
Comments: The traffic in the intersection of Pleasant hill road and Stanly Blvd / Dear hill road is terrible and unsafe for pedestrian. We already had one fatal accident in this intersection. This intersection is not designed to handle the traffic for High school and middle in pleasant hill road, a preschool in Dear hill road and a preschool in the Satnley Blvd let alone adding more traffic Adding a 315 unit apartments will not be feasible for this intersection as is now, we need to minimize the traffic and make pedestrian friendly and not adding more traffic. This proposal will not be welcomed by the Lafayette residence.
Flag

294
Name: Katie Gay on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

295
Name: Anonymous on Jan 18, 2012
Comments:
Flag

296
Name: Anonymous on Jan 19, 2012
Comments:
Flag

297
Name: Anonymous on Jan 19, 2012
Comments:
Flag

298
Name: Roxanne Whitbeck on Jan 19, 2012
Comments:
Flag

299
Name: Anonymous on Jan 19, 2012
Comments:
Flag

IND23-2
cont.

300
Name: Monica Byers on Jan 19, 2012
Comments:
Flag

Page: « < 1 , ... 3 , 4 , 5 , 6 , 7 , 8 , 9 , ... 13 > »

IPetitions is owned and operated by Angle Three Associates, LLC - All material © Copyright Angle Three Associates, LLC, 1998-2012 - [Terms of Use](#)

IND23-2
cont.

Home > Categories > Other > Terraces of Lafayette aka Christmas Tree Lot

Terraces of Lafayette aka Christmas Tree Lot

Sign Blog Signatures Email friends

Signatures

602 TOTAL

Sign Petition

Page: « < 1 , ... 4 , 5 , 6 , 7 , 8 , 9 , 10 , ... 13 > »

Now!

Sponsored links

301

Name: Anonymous on Jan 19, 2012

Comments:

Flag

302

Name: Anonymous on Jan 19, 2012

Comments:

Flag

303

Name: Patricia & Jose Kuan on Jan 19, 2012

Comments:

Flag

304

Name: Anne Wondolowski on Jan 19, 2012

Comments: This enormous project would impact our Springhill/ Reliez Valley area in a negative way. I am absolutely opposed to it. This is not in the rural design we have always strived for for our city. Let's keep our ridges open and hillsides available. I Traffic safety, student safety and congestion are all reasonable concerns. Anne Wondolowski

Flag

305

Name: Anonymous on Jan 19, 2012

Comments: Needed but not appropriate at this intersection.

Flag

306

Name: Peter Buck on Jan 19, 2012

Comments: This will create intersection grid-lock.

Flag

307

Name: Sheryl Lauer on Jan 19, 2012

Comments:

Flag

308

Name: Cathleen Holt on Jan 19, 2012

Comments:

Flag

309

Name: Bryan Holt on Jan 19, 2012

Comments:

Flag

310

Name: Margaret Kintner on Jan 19, 2012

Comments:

**IND23-2
cont.**

Flag

311

Name: Alan Aldrich on Jan 19, 2012

Comments:

Flag

312

Name: Jocelyn Werner on Jan 19, 2012

Comments:

Flag

313

Name: Chad Follmer on Jan 19, 2012

Comments:

Flag

314

Name: Marie Mlynek on Jan 19, 2012

Comments:

Flag

315

Name: Victoria Singh on Jan 19, 2012

Comments: No low income housing in Lafayette! Especially not across the street from the school!

Flag

316

Name: Piers Whitehead on Jan 19, 2012

Comments: That this issue has reached this point is truly disgraceful and reflects very poorly on Lafayette's civic leadership

Flag

317

Name: Michael Donlon on Jan 19, 2012

Comments:

Flag

318

Name: K L Braunstein on Jan 19, 2012

Comments:

Flag

319

Name: Anonymous on Jan 19, 2012

Comments:

Flag

320

Name: Jeanette Mone on Jan 19, 2012

Comments:

Flag

321

Name: Fred Toney on Jan 19, 2012

Comments: I am a homeowner near this property and my kids attend the adjacent schools and will for years to come. The City has an obligation to accept the earlier proposed zoning, as recommended by the board, and the citizens of Lafayette will take whatever legal means necessary and available to cease actions to the contrary.

Flag

322

Name: Chris Lane on Jan 19, 2012

Comments:

Flag

323

Name: Anonymous on Jan 19, 2012

Comments:

Flag

IND23-2
cont.

324

Name: Cary Schirmer on Jan 19, 2012

Comments: I am 100% against the project and increased traffic issues it will cause. It is not the right type of use for the location. The high school has already outgrown the existing facilities and parking. Please do not allow this project to be approved.

Flag

325

Name: Cary T. Schirmer on Jan 19, 2012

Comments:

Flag

326

Name: Wendy Sposato on Jan 19, 2012

Comments:

Flag

327

Name: LAURA Gaffney on Jan 19, 2012

Comments:

Flag

328

Name: Debbi Zakerski on Jan 19, 2012

Comments: The traffic in this area without 315 apartments has already increased the travel time from the top of the hill to the freeway by 20 - 30 minutes during commute time, regularly about 4 minutes when stopping at each light.

Flag

329

Name: Adam Gilberd on Jan 19, 2012

Comments: I am a native Lafayette resident and couldn't be more opposed to this project.

Flag

330

Name: Desta Gebre-Medhin-Huff on Jan 19, 2012

Comments: Without the addition of the proposed project, we are struggling with heavy traffic on a daily basis. While I have no objection to affordable housing, for those of us that live in the Springhill community, it will cause undue hardship. We need to be able to get our kids to school and get to work also at a reasonable time. The kids are having to get up earlier to catch the bus due to traffic congestion. This will push us beyond our ability to tolerate and cope with an existing problem.

Flag

331

Name: Richard Morrison on Jan 20, 2012

Comments: The petition says it all. PLEASE act quickly and decisively!

Flag

332

Name: Nicole Murgia on Jan 20, 2012

Comments:

Flag

333

Name: Robert Murgia on Jan 20, 2012

Comments:

Flag

334

Name: Karen Morlock on Jan 20, 2012

Comments: I've been a Lafayette resident for 17 years and have witnessed numerous accidents and near-misses at this intersection. My concern is for the safety of the High School students in such close proximity. Adding 315 residences to this area would be a dangerous decision.

Flag

335

Name: Lori Suppiger on Jan 20, 2012

Comments:

Flag

IND23-2
cont.

336

Name: Christina Lyons on Jan 20, 2012

Comments:

Flag

337

Name: Anonymous on Jan 20, 2012

Comments:

Flag

338

Name: Gurinder Dhillon & Jatinder Dhillon on Jan 20, 2012

Comments: We both oppose the building of the apt complex. It would be detrimental for the high school students and a traffic nightmare. Please do not allow such a structure to be built. Thank you.

Flag

339

Name: Calvin C. Moore on Jan 20, 2012

Comments:

Flag

340

Name: Doris L. Moore on Jan 20, 2012

Comments:

Flag

341

Name: Jennifer Roth on Jan 20, 2012

Comments:

Flag

342

Name: Rachel Yates on Jan 20, 2012

Comments:

Flag

343

Name: Beata Zegarowski on Jan 20, 2012

Comments:

Flag

344

Name: Erica on Jan 20, 2012

Comments:

Flag

345

Name: Kyle Ferreira on Jan 20, 2012

Comments: Please this is not far for us that want to keep a awesome place for kids to live and not worry about crime increasing. I promise this will not make the contractors books.

Flag

346

Name: Kelli Blocker on Jan 20, 2012

Comments:

Flag

347

Name: Maria Nelson on Jan 20, 2012

Comments:

Flag

348

Name: Scott McLeod And Family on Jan 20, 2012

Comments: We urge the City to complete low density zoning for this property at its' earliest convenience. A high density apartment complex at this location would be nothing short of a disaster for the citizens of Lafayette.

Flag

IND23-2
cont.

349

Name: Shiva Wilson on Jan 20, 2012

Comments: I have pulled building permits twice. Each time I had to ensure that the color of the trim around my windows and gutters was dark enough to be less visible, my exterior lights had to face downward, and so on, with excruciating detail paid to such minutiae. I was happy to do it to preserve the semi-rural character of this area. In this entire construction downturn, the city offices seemed to remain fully staffed. So how could this happen?? You care about which plants I plant but are allowing a massive amount of traffic and building to occur down the street from us? We are active in the schools, community, sports...I really hope that now that this mistake has been made, you will stand up and fight for us against this developer who would greatly decrease our quality of life otherwise. If any of us wanted to live in Walnut Creek or Pleasant Hill, where complexes are rampant, we wouldn't be paying a premium to live here. Thank you for listening. I hope to see action on our behalf.
Flag

350

Name: Francie Low on Jan 20, 2012

Comments:

Flag

IND23-2
cont.

Page: « ‹ 1 , ... 4 , 5 , 6 , 7 , 8 , 9 , 10 , ... 13 › »

[iPetitions](#) is owned and operated by Angle Three Associates, LLC - All material © Copyright Angle Three Associates, LLC, 1998-2012 - [Terms of Use](#)

Home > Categories > Other > Terraces of Lafayette aka Christmas Tree Lot

Terraces of Lafayette aka Christmas Tree Lot

[Sign](#) [Blog](#) [Signatures](#) [Email friends](#)

Signatures

602 TOTAL

[Sign Petition](#)

Page: « < 1 , ... 5 , 6 , 7 , 8 , 9 , 10 , 11 , ... 13 > »

[Now!](#)

[Sponsored links](#)

351

Name: Leilani Sussenguth on Jan 20, 2012

Comments: I am highly against this project. I have been a resident of Stanley Boulevard for 20 years, both my children have graduated from Acalanes and am very familiar with the already traffic congested issues of this intersection. Disregard for the safety of this community is negligent and highly concerning.

[Flag](#)

352

Name: Vidya Bhat on Jan 20, 2012

Comments: We not want homes or other structures being built in this location, due to 2 schools very close by, children and safety, traffic, scenic view and all other associated reasons.

[Flag](#)

353

Name: Laura Gambel on Jan 20, 2012

Comments:

[Flag](#)

354

Name: Margie Darlington on Jan 20, 2012

Comments:

[Flag](#)

355

Name: Erik Suppiger on Jan 20, 2012

Comments:

[Flag](#)

356

Name: Kellee Bachour on Jan 20, 2012

Comments:

[Flag](#)

357

Name: Mary Lou Till on Jan 20, 2012

Comments:

[Flag](#)

358

Name: Fadi Bachour on Jan 20, 2012

Comments:

[Flag](#)

359

Name: Kevin Kaufthell on Jan 20, 2012

Comments:

[Flag](#)

360

Name: Bruce Roberts on Jan 20, 2012

IND23-2
cont.

Comments:
Flag

361

Name: Dawn Lynn Lanier on Jan 20, 2012

Comments: Please add my voice to those of my friends and neighbors who are opposed to this project. It is entirely out of scale not only with the surrounding neighborhood, but the City of Lafayette.
Flag

362

Name: Dawn Lynn Lanier on Jan 20, 2012

Comments: Please add my voice to those of my friends and neighbors who are opposed to this project. It is entirely out of scale not only with the surrounding neighborhood, but the City of Lafayette.
Flag

363

Name: Meagan Hagglund on Jan 20, 2012

Comments:
Flag

364

Name: Valerie Meredith on Jan 20, 2012

Comments: I am in complete agreement with the statements set forth in this letter. I know the majority of the City Counsel and the Planning Commission must agree with these statements as well, so I am sure they will now take the appropriate action to enshrine and honor the wishes of the majority of the citizens of Lafayette despite whatever pressure and threats may be forth coming regarding these well thought out decisions. Thank you very much, Val Meredith
Flag

365

Name: Sharon Rueff on Jan 20, 2012

Comments: I am NOT in favor of turning this property into high density.
Flag

366

Name: Julie Hurd on Jan 20, 2012

Comments:
Flag

367

Name: Karen Conrad on Jan 20, 2012

Comments:
Flag

368

Name: Susan Butenhoff Mani on Jan 21, 2012

Comments: Lafayette schools are able to attract the local community financial support and Lafayette is able to secure premium pricing for its properties because we are thoughtful about preserving the high quality of the community and managing its growth accordingly. This move to allow a high-density development is completely at odds with this and will result in a serious compromise of what Lafayette residents appreciate and are willing to invest in.. This needs to be addressed and corrected.
Flag

369

Name: Kelly Gotelli on Jan 21, 2012

Comments: Couldn't agree more.
Flag

370

Name: Nancy Hughes on Jan 21, 2012

Comments:
Flag

371

Name: Catherine Ziegler on Jan 21, 2012

Comments: This project is too dense for the area.
Flag

372

Name: Catherine Ziegler on Jan 21, 2012

IND23-2
cont.

Comments: This project is too dense for the area.
Flag

373



Name: Don Nimura on Jan 21, 2012

Comments:
Flag

374

Name: Stephanie O'Toole on Jan 21, 2012

Comments:
Flag

375

Name: Jill Godsey on Jan 21, 2012

Comments: We don't want high density living in the Springhill area! It will change the character of the neighborhood dramatically.
Flag

376

Name: Jennifer Bielawski on Jan 21, 2012

Comments: Traffic is already horrific there, can't even imagine what it will look like if this comes to fruition.
Flag

377

Name: Douglas Frigon on Jan 21, 2012

Comments:
Flag

378

Name: Jayne Frigon on Jan 21, 2012

Comments:
Flag

379

Name: Jeffrey Gilman on Jan 21, 2012

Comments:
Flag

380

Name: Ed Sussenguth on Jan 21, 2012

Comments: This is the WRONG project for this location. Our family are all opposed to the proposed development.
Flag

381

Name: Stefan Moiceanu on Jan 21, 2012

Comments:
Flag

382

Name: T. Michele Carver on Jan 21, 2012

Comments:
Flag

383

Name: Peter T. Simonsen on Jan 21, 2012

Comments:
Flag

384

Name: Kenneth Huff on Jan 22, 2012

Comments:
Flag

385

IND23-2
cont.

Name: Helen Ann Licht on Jan 22, 2012
Comments:
Flag

386
Name: Shari Samuels on Jan 23, 2012
Comments:
Flag

387
Name: Jennifer Davis on Jan 23, 2012
Comments:
Flag

388
Name: Andrea Mitroff on Jan 23, 2012
Comments:
Flag

389
Name: James Hunter Davis on Jan 23, 2012
Comments:
Flag

390
Name: Karen Chiarucci on Jan 23, 2012
Comments:
Flag

391
Name: Ruth Davis on Jan 23, 2012
Comments:
Flag

392
Name: Linda Gidre on Jan 23, 2012
Comments:
Flag

393
Name: Bethany Van Veen on Jan 23, 2012
Comments:
Flag

394
Name: Sarah Bruschi on Jan 23, 2012
Comments: I believe that this development would be a negative one for the city of Lafayette.
Flag

395
Name: Greta Hsu on Jan 23, 2012
Comments:
Flag

396
Name: Casey B. Van Veen on Jan 23, 2012
Comments:
Flag

397
Name: *Anonymous* on Jan 23, 2012
Comments: allowing an out-of-state developer to pack the hillside with urban sprawl is really, really distasteful. does lafayette want to be another oakland? good luck destroying all your open scenery/space - should be GREAT for property values, not to mention the burden on the school system.
Flag

398
Name: Megan Fraysse on Jan 23, 2012

IND23-2
cont.

Comments: The city council has no other choice than to represent our community and the commitment they made! This would be horrible for our neighborhoods.
Flag

399

Name: Megan Fraysse on Jan 23, 2012

Comments: The city council has no other choice than to represent our community and the commitment they made! This would be horrible for our neighborhoods.
Flag

400

Name: Thomas Fraysse on Jan 23, 2012

Comments:
Flag

Page: « ‹ 1 , ... 5 , 6 , 7 , 8 , 9 , 10 , 11 , ... 13 › »

IND23-2
cont.

iPetitions is owned and operated by Angle Three Associates, LLC - All material © Copyright Angle Three Associates, LLC, 1998-2012 - Terms of Use

Home > Categories > Other > Terraces of Lafayette aka Christmas Tree Lot

Terraces of Lafayette aka Christmas Tree Lot

[Sign](#) [Blog](#) [Signatures](#) [Email friends](#)

Signatures

602 TOTAL

[Sign Petition](#)

Page: « (1 , ... 6 , 7 , 8 , 9 , 10 , 11 , 12 , 13) »

[Now!](#)

[Sponsored links](#)

401

Name: Patricia Plauche on Jan 23, 2012
Comments: Please finalize the LR-5 rezoning ASAP!
[Flag](#)

402

Name: Anne Fraysse on Jan 23, 2012
Comments:
[Flag](#)

403

Name: Emily Fraysse on Jan 23, 2012
Comments:
[Flag](#)

404

Name: Nicole Long on Jan 23, 2012
Comments:
[Flag](#)

405

Name: *Anonymous* on Jan 23, 2012
Comments:
[Flag](#)

406

Name: *Anonymous* on Jan 23, 2012
Comments:
[Flag](#)

407

Name: Adrienne Belmont on Jan 23, 2012
Comments:
[Flag](#)

408

Name: Tiffany Moore on Jan 23, 2012
Comments:
[Flag](#)

409

Name: Thomas Wiggins on Jan 23, 2012
Comments: The traffic at the intersection of Deer Hill rd & Pleasant Hill Rd is already a nightmare, and 315 more residences would add at least 315 more autos to this nightmare daily and more than likely 630 since most homes have two vehicles.
[Flag](#)

410

Name: Camryn Wiggins on Jan 23, 2012
Comments: Stop this insanity now.
[Flag](#)

IND23-2
cont.

411

Name: Elaine Wiggins on Jan 23, 2012

Comments: This development is absurd and should never be allowed, No urban sprawl in Lafayette, and no more Traffic in this area which is already a disaster dally.

Flag

412

Name: Kristine Pass on Jan 23, 2012

Comments:

Flag

413

Name: Elizabeth Haslam on Jan 23, 2012

Comments:

Flag

414

Name: Elizabeth Haslam on Jan 23, 2012

Comments:

Flag

415

Name: Maryly Koch on Jan 23, 2012

Comments:

Flag

416

Name: Debbie Green on Jan 23, 2012

Comments:

Flag

417

Name: Alex Flagg on Jan 23, 2012

Comments: I think this multi unit structure is a bad idea - I've looked at the plans and think that it should be built in another city - not Lafayette.

Flag

418

Name: Brittany Belmont on Jan 23, 2012

Comments:

Flag

419

Name: Carla Combi on Jan 23, 2012

Comments:

Flag

420

Name: Denise C. Cain on Jan 23, 2012

Comments: I am concerned about the huge increase of traffic in that area, directly across the street from the high school

Flag

421

Name: *Anonymous* on Jan 23, 2012

Comments:

Flag

422

Name: *Anonymous* on Jan 23, 2012

Comments:

Flag

423

Name: Heidi McLeod on Jan 23, 2012

Comments:

Flag

IND23-2
cont.


424
Name: Sterling Moore on Jan 23, 2012
Comments:
Flag

425
Name: Kaisa Lyon on Jan 23, 2012
Comments:
Flag

426
Name: Anita Kandiar on Jan 23, 2012
Comments:
Flag

427
Name: Jennifer Brush on Jan 23, 2012
Comments:
Flag

428
Name: Linda Moroz on Jan 23, 2012
Comments:
Flag

429

Name: Teresa Jimenez Kirke on Jan 23, 2012
Comments:
Flag

430
Name: Meredith Meade on Jan 24, 2012
Comments:
Flag

431
Name: Jennifer Berten on Jan 24, 2012
Comments:
Flag

432
Name: Roberta Heyman on Jan 24, 2012
Comments:
Flag

433
Name: Kristina Page on Jan 24, 2012
Comments:
Flag

434
Name: Stacy Lehrer on Jan 24, 2012
Comments: Such a pretty area to ruin with development.
Flag

435
Name: Christopher W. Davis on Jan 24, 2012
Comments:
Flag

436
Name: Jane McMannes on Jan 24, 2012
Comments: I am strongly opposed to such high density development at this location. I strongly favor the low density residential zoning. Traffic is one of our main concerns. Thank you.
Flag

437

IND23-2
cont.

Name: Patty Gonser on Jan 24, 2012
Comments:
Flag

438
Name: Aleece Gottfried on Jan 24, 2012
Comments:
Flag


439
Name: Aleece Gottfried on Jan 24, 2012
Comments:
Flag

440
Name: Jennifer Gonzales on Jan 25, 2012
Comments:
Flag

441
Name: Traci Reilly on Jan 25, 2012
Comments: This should be a mute point, since the Council voted on a 3 - 2 vote to re-zone this property in 2010. Why It wasn't done by the City is a mystery? This area cannot support the additional traffic and congestion from such a large scale project . It will negatively impact our current residents.
Flag

442
Name: Tandy McMannes on Jan 25, 2012
Comments: I oppose the high density housing being foisted upon the city by an out-of-state owner. The City must take action ASAP to ensure that the character and rural feel of Lafayette be maintained through the low density housing alternative.
Flag

443
Name: Dennis Kurimal on Jan 25, 2012
Comments:
Flag

444
 **Name:** Aaron Hope on Jan 25, 2012
Comments:
Flag

445
Name: Shannon Nicosia on Jan 25, 2012
Comments:
Flag

446
Name: Laurelle Thom on Jan 25, 2012
Comments:
Flag

447
Name: Peter Thom on Jan 25, 2012
Comments:
Flag

448
Name: Cristina Harman on Jan 25, 2012
Comments:
Flag

449
Name: Carol Davis on Jan 25, 2012
Comments: Traffic is already a nightmare dally! This is not a high density housing area.
Flag

IND23-2
cont.

450

Name: Amy Fritschl on Jan 25, 2012

Comments: too much traffic in the area already

Flag

Page: « ‹ 1 , ... 6 , 7 , 8 , 9 , 10 , 11 , 12 , 13 › »

IND23-2
cont.

iPetitions is owned and operated by Angle Three Associates, LLC - All material © Copyright Angle Three Associates, LLC, 1998-2012 - [Terms of Use](#)

Home > Categories > Other > Terraces of Lafayette aka Christmas Tree Lot

Terraces of Lafayette aka Christmas Tree Lot

[Sign](#) [Blog](#) [Signatures](#) [Email friends](#)

Signatures

602 TOTAL

[Sign Petition](#)

Page: « ‹ 1 , ... 7 , 8 , 9 , 10 , 11 , 12 , 13 › »

[Now!](#)

[Sponsored links](#)

451

Name: Allison Etnyre on Jan 25, 2012

Comments:

[Flag](#)

452

Name: Kirk Alan Harman on Jan 25, 2012

Comments: The infrastructure at the intersection of the proposed development is already grossly inadequate to meet the needs of the community. Failing to finalize the low density zoning for this property threatens to change the semi rural character that has made so many of us proud to call Lafayette home.

[Flag](#)

453

Name: Elana Marsh on Jan 25, 2012

Comments: I though this was already voted down?

[Flag](#)

454

Name: Leslie Dumas on Jan 25, 2012

Comments: This development is out of character for the location, and in appropriate for the location proposed. Any development of that parcel should be consistent with the residential neighborhoods in which is resides.

[Flag](#)

455

Name: Joan Seet on Jan 25, 2012

Comments:

[Flag](#)

456

Name: Caroline Buckley on Jan 25, 2012

Comments:

[Flag](#)

457

Name: Dan Grobeck on Jan 25, 2012

Comments:

[Flag](#)

458

Name: Dan Grobeck on Jan 25, 2012

Comments:

[Flag](#)

459

Name: Jennifer Lincoln on Jan 25, 2012

Comments:

[Flag](#)

460

IND23-2
cont.

Name: Danielle Woermann on Jan 25, 2012
Comments:
Flag

461
Name: Margaret Levit on Jan 26, 2012
Comments:
Flag

462
Name: Robin Album on Jan 26, 2012
Comments:
Flag

463
Name: Lila Schoenfield on Jan 26, 2012
Comments:
Flag

464
Name: Amanda Cherne on Jan 26, 2012
Comments:
Flag

465
Name: Catherine McLin on Jan 26, 2012
Comments:
Flag

466
Name: Kirstln Cortessis on Jan 26, 2012
Comments:
Flag

467
Name: Gloria Magee on Jan 27, 2012
Comments:
Flag

468
Name: Lance & Kari Grosz on Jan 27, 2012
Comments:
Flag

469
Name: Tim Lynch on Jan 29, 2012
Comments: Back up and look at the impact this will have on the east end of town (Acalanes, P.H.Rd/Deerhill intersections,etc.,etc.)
Flag

470
Name: Phu Ho on Jan 30, 2012
Comments:
Flag

471
Name: Kay Chu on Jan 30, 2012
Comments:
Flag

472
Name: Michal Dubrovsky on Jan 30, 2012
Comments:
Flag

473
Name: Connie Bell on Jan 30, 2012
Comments:
Flag

IND23-2
cont.

474
Name: Mary Weiss on Jan 30, 2012
Comments:
Flag

475
Name: Ben Strumwasser on Jan 30, 2012
Comments:
Flag

476
Name: Elizabeth Hyatt on Jan 31, 2012
Comments:
Flag

477
Name: Derek D. Hyatt on Jan 31, 2012
Comments:
Flag

478
Name: Joy Martin on Feb 1, 2012
Comments:
Flag

479
Name: Darcy Cole on Feb 1, 2012
Comments: Low density zoning would be the only prudent choice that the City could make in regards to this property. That this matter has not been put to bed is reckless.
Flag

480
Name: Diana Sariballs on Feb 1, 2012
Comments: the Pleasant Hill Road traffic is already unbearable! --- that should be reason enough on its own to reject a project of this size!
Flag

481
Name: Stephanie Coate on Feb 1, 2012
Comments:
Flag

482
Name: Patty Sambrailo on Feb 1, 2012
Comments: I don't live here, but I feel very strongly that people should not lose their neighborhood to some huge multi housing project. And by some one who lives out of state and has no care how it would impact people's lives as long as he /she makes a buck. That is why our country is such a mess now.. the people who can make money without a care about others have taken over.
Flag

483
Name: Patty Sambrailo on Feb 1, 2012
Comments: I don't live here, but I feel very strongly that people should not lose their neighborhood to some huge multi housing project. And by some one who lives out of state and has no care how it would impact people's lives as long as he /she makes a buck. That is why our country is such a mess now.. the people who can make money without a care about others have taken over.
Flag

484
Name: Judith Hoff Reed on Feb 2, 2012
Comments: I have lived in my home for 28 years at 1194 Pleasant Hill Circle, Lafayette. We bought in this area for the peace and tranquility. The same Lafayette image and quality that you now present on our town website. This projection of possible apts on Pleasant Hill Rd is directly against the image that you have been presenting for people to live here. Our schools will be directly impacted by non home owners who will not pay for the extra programs that we have been paying for and volunteering to set up and keep going for our students. These apts will attract the same type of people who are being weeded out of our school system through "residence verification" programs, that lie and say that they live here. There are great concerns about drug dealers taking up residence across from the High School as well. That happened before with Acalanes High School. Pleasant Hill Rd is a dangerous street and there have been several fatal accidents, one recently on the corner of Deerhill and PH Rd. I worked for Acalanes High School for 10 years and now I volunteer teach there. While I

IND23-2
cont.

worked in the administration offices, I regularly received phone calls from people commuting over Pleasant Hill Rd from Pleasant Hill or Concord area, asking what time school started and was released, they did not want to be caught in the traffic congestion during those times. Emergency services would be extremely compromised in a case of disaster to all of Layette. There is an earthquake fault that runs right down Pleasant Hill Rd.(and in Acalanes HS parking lot) and the East Bay Mud underground aqua duct runs parallel with Pleasant Hill Circle. We residents have been concerned that an earthquake could break the aqua duct and cause flooding in the Acalanes Ave directly across from the projected apt site. Our area is very low and drainage blocked by hiway 24. It is so difficult for us to go out onto Pleasant Hill Rd during some times of the day that we have to exit out the back way toward Walnut Creek or around by Acalanes High School, which is already congested. If we can get out onto Pleasant Hill Rd and want to go to Hiway 24, we have to get into the far left lane and make a U turn to go back to the freeway. With your new plans for altering Pleasant Hill Rd, we could not make it out into the traffic to the left lane to make a U turn at all. I have felt very upset that the city would even consider such a complex in this area and I sincerely hope that this project stops dead and I am prepared to support it not happening.

Flag

485

Name: John Saribalisj on Feb 2, 2012

Comments: I have been a resident in this neighborhood for thirty years and when I heaed about this proposal I was shocked and after going to the city coucil meeting concerning this matter it was very apparent that this is a very bad idea for the city as well as the immediate neighborhood residents.

John Saribalis

Flag

486

Name: Tiffany Yates on Feb 2, 2012

Comments:

Flag

487

Name: Meredith Johnson on Feb 2, 2012

Comments:

Flag

488

Name: Marjorie Dunbar on Feb 3, 2012

Comments:

Flag

489

Name: John Fritschi on Feb 4, 2012

Comments:

Flag

490

Name: Nicole Carberry on Feb 4, 2012

Comments:

Flag

491

Name: Sara Carter on Feb 6, 2012

Comments:

Flag

492

Name: Cindy Monroe on Feb 6, 2012

Comments:

Flag

493

Name: Sessely McDaniel on Feb 6, 2012

Comments:

Flag

494

Name: Robert Kuo on Feb 7, 2012

Comments:

Flag

495

IND23-2
cont.

Name: Linda Murphy on Feb 8, 2012

Comments: City Council: please ensure that the city takes the action approved in April 2010 after many public hearings. This is an important issue to Lafayette residents.
Flag

496

Name: Cameron Krznaric on Feb 8, 2012

Comments:
Flag

497

Name: Dave Krznaric on Feb 8, 2012

Comments:
Flag

498

Name: Charlie Bettencourt on Feb 8, 2012

Comments:
Flag

499

Name: Monica Stassi on Feb 8, 2012

Comments:
Flag

500

Name: Jennifer Watson on Feb 8, 2012

Comments:
Flag

Page: « ‹ 1 , ... 7 , 8 , 9 , 10 , 11 , 12 , 13 › »

IND23-2
cont.

Petitions is owned and operated by Angle Three Associates, LLC - All material © Copyright Angle Three Associates, LLC, 1998-2012 - [Terms of Use](#)

Home > Categories > Other > Terraces of Lafayette aka Christmas Tree Lot

Terraces of Lafayette aka Christmas Tree Lot

Sign Blog Signatures Email friends

Signatures

602 TOTAL

Sign Petition

Page: « (1 , ... 8 , 9 , 10 , 11 , 12 , 13) »

Now!

Sponsored links

501
Name: Romi Smith on Feb 8, 2012
Comments:
Flag

502
Name: Melissa Haugner on Feb 8, 2012
Comments:
Flag

503
Name: *Anonymous* on Feb 8, 2012
Comments:
Flag

504
Name: James Harrington on Feb 8, 2012
Comments:
Flag

505
Name: Nicole Salmon on Feb 8, 2012
Comments:
Flag

506
Name: Sally Breul on Feb 8, 2012
Comments:
Flag

507
Name: Julie McAvoy on Feb 8, 2012
Comments:
Flag

508
Name: Diane Britto on Feb 8, 2012
Comments:
Flag

509
Name: Gayle M Burleigh on Feb 8, 2012
Comments:
Flag

510
Name: Mary Caprio on Feb 8, 2012
Comments:
Flag

IND23-2
cont.

511

Name: Karen Zuehlsdorf on Feb 8, 2012

Comments:

Flag

512

Name: Anonymous on Feb 8, 2012

Comments: This project would be very disappointing for our city both in the wildlife and natural setting it would upset and the extra population, pollution and traffic it would bring. Very against this!

Flag

513

Name: Lauren Webster on Feb 8, 2012

Comments:

Flag

514

Name: Anonymous on Feb 8, 2012

Comments: Do not allow the Terraces of Lafayette

Flag

515

Name: Charlene Condy on Feb 8, 2012

Comments:

Flag

516

Name: Molly Wendt on Feb 8, 2012

Comments:

Flag

517

Name: Ted Haugner on Feb 8, 2012

Comments:

Flag

518

Name: Julie Roberts on Feb 8, 2012

Comments:

Flag

519

Name: Christopher Miller on Feb 8, 2012

Comments: I concur - this high density development is completely at odds with the character and community value of Lafayette. Thank you

Flag

520

Name: Joanna Lattuca-Gruber on Feb 8, 2012

Comments: Do not allow this apartment complex to be built across from Acalanes.

Flag

521

Name: Nancy Brown on Feb 8, 2012

Comments:

Flag

522

Name: Bill Boselli on Feb 8, 2012

Comments: In addition to the other reasons listed above, having an apartment complex like this located across the street from the high school would be a bad idea. The possible illicit behavior that will become available to high school children due to the proximity to the school is increased.

Flag

523

Name: Jerry Stegel on Feb 8, 2012

Comments:

Flag

524

IND23-2
cont.

Name: Arne Brock-Utne on Feb 8, 2012
Comments:
Flag

525
Name: Shawn Eubanks on Feb 8, 2012
Comments:
Flag

526
Name: Albert Shaterian on Feb 8, 2012
Comments:
Flag

527
Name: Sandra Shaterian on Feb 8, 2012
Comments:
Flag

528
Name: Michael Martin on Feb 8, 2012
Comments:
Flag

529
Name: STEPHANIE BURTON on Feb 8, 2012
Comments:
Flag

530
Name: *Anonymous* on Feb 8, 2012
Comments:
Flag

531
Name: Robert Means on Feb 8, 2012
Comments:
Flag

532
Name: Lisa Mccauley on Feb 8, 2012
Comments:
Flag

533
Name: Patrick Mccauley on Feb 8, 2012
Comments:
Flag

534
Name: Charlie Bettencourt on Feb 8, 2012
Comments:
Flag

535
Name: Paul Wickline on Feb 8, 2012
Comments:
Flag

536
Name: *Anonymous* on Feb 9, 2012
Comments:
Flag

537
Name: Alyse Pellegrini on Feb 9, 2012
Comments: Please do not allow this to happen. It will be a disservice to this community.
Flag

IND23-2
cont.

538

Name: Alyse Pellegrini on Feb 9, 2012

Comments: Please do not allow this to happen. It will be a disservice to this community.

Flag

539

Name: Susan J. Thompson on Feb 9, 2012

Comments:

Flag

540

Name: Julia Ackley on Feb 9, 2012

Comments: Dear Mayor & City Council Members, Please listen to the Important voices of your community and do not proceed with this proposal for an apartment complex!

Flag

541

Name: Elena Hillman on Feb 9, 2012

Comments:

Flag

542

Name: Harry Gottfried on Feb 9, 2012

Comments:

Flag

543

Name: Harry Gottfried on Feb 9, 2012

Comments:

Flag

544

Name: Emily Forschler on Feb 9, 2012

Comments:

Flag

545

Name: Kirsten Curley on Feb 9, 2012

Comments:

Flag

546

Name: Patrice Christensen on Feb 9, 2012

Comments: Traffic concerns, beauty of the area and safety of having such a unit there are main concerns

Flag

547

Name: Marni And Brian Rivera on Feb 9, 2012

Comments:

Flag

548

Name: *Anonymous* on Feb 9, 2012

Comments: This project will prove a huge negative impact relative to safety and to the environment. I am angry that this project has been allowed to go this far.

Flag

549

Name: *Anonymous* on Feb 9, 2012

Comments:

Flag

550

Name: Jodi Lom on Feb 9, 2012

Comments:

Flag

IND23-2
cont.

Page: « (1 , ... 8 , 9 , 10 , 11 , 12 , 13) »

IPetitions is owned and operated by Angle Three Associates, LLC - All material © Copyright Angle Three Associates, LLC, 1998-2012 - Terms of Use

IND23-2
cont.

Home > Categories > Other > Terraces of Lafayette aka Christmas Tree Lot

Terraces of Lafayette aka Christmas Tree Lot

Sign Blog Signatures Email friends

Signatures

602 TOTAL

Sign Petition

Page: « ‹ 1 ... 9 , 10 , 11 , 12 , 13 › »

Now!

Sponsored links

551
Name: Kim Wampler on Feb 9, 2012
Comments:
Flag

552
Name: Sarah Chang on Feb 9, 2012
Comments:
Flag

553
Name: Sarah Chang on Feb 9, 2012
Comments:
Flag

554
Name: Jason Forschler on Feb 9, 2012
Comments:
Flag

555
Name: *Anonymous* on Feb 9, 2012
Comments:
Flag

556
Name: Andy Eppinger on Feb 9, 2012
Comments:
Flag

557
Name: Anna Eppinger on Feb 9, 2012
Comments:
Flag

558
Name: Jerrl And Steve Schwartz on Feb 9, 2012
Comments:
Flag

559
Name: Giles Imrie on Feb 10, 2012
Comments:
Flag

560
Name: Susan Rosenberg on Feb 10, 2012
Comments:
Flag

IND23-2
cont.

561

Name: Kenneth J. Van Cleve on Feb 10, 2012

Comments:

Flag

562

Name: Cheryl McCreary on Feb 10, 2012

Comments:

Flag

563

Name: Christine Peters on Feb 10, 2012

Comments:

Flag

564

Name: Justin Curley on Feb 10, 2012

Comments:

Flag

565

Name: Linda On on Feb 10, 2012

Comments:

Flag

566

Name: Shannon Tague on Feb 10, 2012

Comments:

Flag

567

Name: Kyle Ferrelra on Feb 11, 2012

Comments:

Flag

568

Name: John Kosi on Feb 13, 2012

Comments: While I understand the necessity to provide affordable housing to Lafayette, bringing transient quality dwellings to the city, which I bought in to in 2010, was not my idea or understanding of the cities direction. While providing affordable housing is very important to the cities future growth, placing a large project, miles from any transit, within walking distance of no markets or essential shops, is not the principles of smart growth that attracted me to this great city. Please consider a smart approach to providing Lafayette a project the community can truly be proud of supporting. Thank you for your consideration in advance.

Flag

569

Name: Diana Kosi on Feb 13, 2012

Comments: This project is clearly taking the City of Lafayette in the wrong direction. From the studies I saw, this project would be a single step up from the Occupy Oakland encapment. If thats the direction the lafayette leadership wants this great city to go, then I think its time to change those who govern.

Flag

570

Name: Stephen T. McLIn on Feb 15, 2012

Comments: This is crazy. Just to get permit money to spend on pensions. The failed 24/680 project puts thousands of commuter on Pleasant Hill Road which will become impassable if this is built. Plus, If I wanted to build a house on an exposed ridgeline, I could not yet they are putting hundreds of apartments on a ridgeline visible for tens of miles.

Flag

571

Name: Michelle Yeager on Feb 17, 2012

Comments:

Flag

572

Name: David Yeager on Feb 17, 2012

Comments:

Flag

IND23-2
cont.

573

Name: Brenda Yeager on Feb 17, 2012

Comments:

Flag

574

Name: Roland Mailhot on Feb 17, 2012

Comments:

Flag

575

Name: Ashley McLin on Feb 18, 2012

Comments:

Flag

576

Name: Steve D. McLin on Feb 18, 2012

Comments: Why, almost two years after the fact, has the zoning change not been made official? This complex better not get built because of this inexcusable incompetence.

Flag

577

Name: Dorothy Murphey on Feb 21, 2012

Comments:

Flag

578

Name: Dorothy Murphey on Feb 21, 2012

Comments:

Flag

579

Name: Amy Walker on Feb 22, 2012

Comments:

Flag

580

Name: Dean Thorn on Feb 23, 2012

Comments:

Flag

581

Name: Craig Spittler on Feb 27, 2012

Comments:

Flag

582

Name: Craig Spittler on Feb 27, 2012

Comments:

Flag

583

Name: Craig Spittler on Feb 27, 2012

Comments:

Flag

584

Name: Teresa Patrick on Mar 6, 2012

Comments:

Flag

585

Name: Guy Atwood on Mar 14, 2012

Comments:

Flag

586

Name: Diane Atwood on Mar 14, 2012

IND23-2
cont.

Comments:
Flag

587

Name: Tristan DeTimofeev on Apr 16, 2012

Comments:
Flag

588

Name: Catherine Burns on May 12, 2012

Comments:
Flag

589

Name: James Burns on May 12, 2012

Comments: We have recently moved from the UK which has been blighted by such ill thought out and unnecessary development. Once you go down the path of over development there is no going back. Beautiful rural communities are ruined for ever.
Flag

590

Name: Colin Elliott on Jun 13, 2012

Comments:
Flag

591

Name: Linda Riebel on Jun 19, 2012

Comments: The Terraces of Lafayette would ensure guaranteed gridlock! No genuine mitigation is possible.
Flag

592

Name: Sara Jonas on Jun 19, 2012

Comments:
Flag

593

Name: Michael Baker on Jun 20, 2012

Comments: This is a low density housing area and the development as planned would totally snarl traffic and make unsafe the area near Acalanes High and Springhill Elementary
Flag

594

Name: Warren Li on Jun 20, 2012

Comments: No low density zoning !!!
Flag

595

Name: Sharon Thie on Jun 20, 2012

Comments: Secondly, most ALL of the SIGNIFICANT NEGATIVE environmental impact reports in the Draft EIR CANNOT be mitigated!
Flag

596

Name: Martin & Jolynn Lenz on Jun 20, 2012

Comments:
Flag

597

Name: Karyn Vollman on Jun 20, 2012

Comments:
Flag

598

Name: Thomas Thie on Jun 20, 2012

Comments:
Flag

599

Name: Michael Zawadski on Jun 21, 2012

IND23-2
cont.

Comments:
Flag

600
Name: Michael Harhay on Jun 21, 2012
Comments:
Flag

Page: « (1 , ... 9 , 10 , 11 , 12 , 13) »

iPetitions is owned and operated by Angle Three Associates, LLC - All material © Copyright Angle Three Associates, LLC, 1998-2012 - Terms of Use

IND23-2
cont.

Home > Categories > Other > Terraces of Lafayette aka Christmas Tree Lot

Terraces of Lafayette aka Christmas Tree Lot

[Sign](#) [Blog](#) [Signatures](#) [Email friends](#)

Signatures

602 TOTAL

[Sign Petition](#)

Page: « ‹ 1 , ... 10 , 11 , 12 , 13

[Now!](#)

[Sponsored links](#)

IND23-2
cont.

601

Name: Stuart And Debra Bussey on Jun 22, 2012

Comments: Already too much traffic and confusion near springhill and acalanes
Flag

602

Name: Karin & Bruce Lechner on Jun 24, 2012

Comments: We are vehemently opposed to this development. Solving traffic problems, not adding to them, is the City Council's task!
Flag

Page: « ‹ 1 , ... 10 , 11 , 12 , 13

Home > Categories > Other > Terraces of Lafayette aka Christmas Tree Lot

Terraces of Lafayette aka Christmas Tree Lot

Sign Blog Signatures Email friends

Like { 3 } Send

Tweet { 0 }

0

602

Goal: 1,000 signatures

The Petition

Carol Federighi Mayor
City of Lafayette
3675 Mt Diablo Boulevard, Suite 210
Lafayette CA 94549

Dear
Lafayette Mayor and City Council Members:

The residents of Lafayette have signed this petition, because of our concerns regarding the rezoning of the property known as the "Christmas Tree Lot". The City Council recommended on April 26, 2010, that the five parcels located along Deer Hill Road at Pleasant Hill Road (including the "Christmas Tree" lot across from Acalanes High School) be zoned low-density LR-5, which would permit one home per five acres, resulting in a maximum of four homes on the 22 acre parcel. Public support for this zoning was overwhelming. So many people showed up at the first hearing that the matter had to be continued for further comment at the second hearing. Three homeowners associations sent representatives in support of the low density zoning.

However, the City failed to finalize the low density residential zoning for a year and a half, thus permitting the owner to file an application for a massive apartment complex. This delay by the city may jeopardize the community's interests and must be corrected immediately. Further delay increases the potential that this property will be developed as high density, multifamily housing. The owner, whom inherited the property, and lives out of state, has filed an application to build 315 "moderate income" affordable housing apartments on the property; this proposal will fundamentally change the character of our community for the worse. Further, this development project will significantly impact traffic, threaten the safety of children crossing the street on their way to school, and decrease property values of hundreds of homes.

The community of Lafayette is angry that the City failed to finalize the low density zoning for this property prior to the owner filling an application. We want the North-east portion of Lafayette to maintain its semi-rural and single family residential character. This intersection is a crucial entrance to the city and our neighborhoods. We should not be forced to accept urbanization that will bring substantially increased traffic, and force expansion into broader roadways to accommodate all the cars.

We understand that the developer will contend that its proposal should be considered under the current zoning. We will deal with that contention in due course, but regardless the City needs to finalize the LR-5 rezoning as soon as possible, for the following reasons:

To finalize their earlier recommendation and commitment

To protect the character and views of this area

Sponsor

In April 2010, the City Council, after numerous well-attended hearings, agreed with the overwhelming input from the community that the parcel across the street from Acalanes was inappropriate for high density housing. It passed a resolution to zone the parcel LR-5 (low density residential development). Unfortunately, city staff never enacted the zoning change. In July 2011, 15 months after the City Council voted to rezone the parcel to low density, the landowner submitted an application to build a 315-unit apartment complex on the parcel. As of February 2012, the zoning change approved in 2010 has still not been enacted. The petition below does not seek to revisit the issue, which was thoroughly discussed by various stakeholders.

Because city staff has not enacted the change, residents are petitioning the City Council to direct staff to follow through on the zoning decision made almost two years ago.

There will be City Council meetings in the near future, your attendance and voice are very important!

This petition is only one step in fighting this project.

Spread the word

Help promote this petition with a widget on your site

Links

<http://www.facebook.com/pages/Stop-the-Terraces-of-Lafayette/198489963572243>

IND23-2
cont.

Sponsored links

To minimize the proposed traffic impacts of a high-density development

To bring the zoning in conformance with the City's Hillside and Ridgeline Ordinance

To bring the zoning into conformance with the General Plan, which calls for development consistent with the surrounding neighborhoods

To maintain the City's long standing policy of single family development north of the freeway

We look to the City Council members to represent the community, and to follow through with commitments they've made to our community.

PLEASE NOTE:
WHEN SIGNING THIS PETITION THE:

Show my name in the online signature list

MUST BE CHECKED FOR YOUR SIGNATURE TO COUNT

AFTER SIGNING THIS PETITION IPETITIONS WILL ASK YOU FOR A \$2 DONATION IN A POP UP SCREEN TO SUPPORT THEIR SITE. PLEASE DISREGARD/SAY NO AS THIS IS NOT A DONATION FOR STOP THE TERRACES OF LAFAYETTE.

The views expressed in this petition are solely those of the petition's sponsor and do not in any way reflect the views of IPetitions. IPetitions is solely a provider of technical services to the petition sponsor and cannot be held liable for any damages or injury or other harm arising from this petition. In the event no adequate sponsor is named, IPetitions will consider the individual account holder with which the petition was created as the lawful sponsor.

IND23-2
cont.

Sign petition

Fields marked with * are required

Name: *

Email: *

Comments:

Display options

Show my name in the online signature list

Keep me informed on this and similar petitions

Sign now

iPetitions is owned and operated by Angle Three Associates, LLC - All material © Copyright Angle Three Associates, LLC, 1998-2012 - Terms of Use

IND23-2
cont.